

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIAM BLAINE MAYFIELD,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-04-1182 JAM DAD P

vs.

TOM CAREY, Warden, et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding with this application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Judgment was entered in this case on August 25, 2008. On September 16, 2008, petitioner filed a timely notice of appeal and a request to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal. The court file reflects that petitioner paid the filing fee for this action.¹

Rule 24(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure provides that a party to a district court action who desires to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal must file a motion in the district court which:

(A) shows in the detail prescribed by Form 4 of the Appendix of Forms the party's inability to pay or to give security for fees and costs;

¹ Petitioner is not required to obtain a certificate of appealability in order to prosecute this appeal. See Rosas v. Nielsen, 428 F.3d 1229, 1232 (9th Cir. 2005).

1 (B) claims an entitlement to redress; and

2 (C) states the issues that the party intends to present on appeal.

3 Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(2). Petitioner has attached the appropriate affidavit which demonstrates
4 his inability to pay or to give security for fees and costs. In his notice of appeal, petitioner claims
5 entitlement to redress and describes the issues he intends to present on appeal. Petitioner has
6 complied with the requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 24(a). Accordingly, his request to proceed in
7 forma pauperis on appeal will be granted.

8 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's September
9 16, 2008 request to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal is granted.

10 DATED: 10/22/2008

11
12 /s/ John A. Mendez
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

13 /mayfield1182.ifp
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26